IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NOS. 1090, 1092 & 1096 OF 2004
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 2532 of 1997

Shankar G. Apte.... Petitioner

versus

Krishnakant Narasayya Chintal ... Respondent.

Party in person - present.

CORAM; P.V. KAKADE, J.

DATED; FEBRUARY 15, 2006.

P.C:

IN CIVIL APPLICAITON NO.1090/04.

1. Heard the applicant in person, who makes a request that he is not pressing the Civil Application No. 1090/2004. In view of this aspect the Civil Application No.1090/04 stands disposed of as not present by the applicant in person.

IN CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1092/04.

2. Heard the applicant in person, who makes a request that he is not pressing the Civil Application No.

1092/2004. In view of this aspect the Civil Application No.1092/04 stands disposed of as not present by the applicant in person.

IN CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1096/04.

3. Heard the applicant in person. None appears for the respondent though served. This is an application seeking directions to the tenant/respondent to pay arrears of rent of Rs. 4183.40/-. On perusal of the record, it appears that the applicant has given details of rent due and payable which is in arrears by the end of September 2003.

4. I perused the entire record along with the contents of the application and therefore I do not see any reason why the applicant should be disbelieved especially when the respondent has not challenged the appliocation. Hence Civil Application No.1096/04 is made absolute. The respondent is directed to pay arrearsof rent of Rs. 4183.40/- within four weeks from the date of this order. Civil Application No.1096/04 stands disposed of with this direction.

5. Remaining Civil Applications S.O. after two weeks.

...